

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,364	10/680,364 10/07/2003		Charles A. Miller	RWZ/78U	4643
26875	7590	05/17/2006	EXAMINER		
WOOD, HE		& EVANS, LLP	KUMAR, RAKESH		
441 VINE S		X.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNAT	ri, oh 4	5202	3654		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/680,364	MILLER, CHARLES A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rakesh Kumar	3654
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Electrons</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	· •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-78 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-78 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 10/07/2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the left.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected a drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apionity documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Diagram Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Diagram Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/680,364

Art Unit: 3654

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 60/424,616 fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. The above priority Application does not provide support for selected claims of this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,364

Art Unit: 3654

3. Claims 1,7,18 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly

Page 3

claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

4. Referring to claims 1 and 18. The Applicant recites the limitations "a corner of the carton formed by one of the end walls and one of the top and bottom wall" in claim 1, on line 5. It is unclear as to how the top and the bottom walls in combination with the end wall forms "a corner", when there are two separate corners formed, one at the top of the container and one at the bottom of the container. It is generally understood and broadly construed to mean a corner of the container formed by a "end wall and the top wall" at the top of the container as viewed in Figure 2. Appropriate action is required.

Referring to claims 7 and 26. The Applicant recites the limitation "the end wall" in claim 7, line 2. It is unclear whether the disclosed "the end wall" in claim 7 is the same as "one of the end walls' recited in claim 1, line 5 which comprise to form the top and the bottom corners of the container. It is generally understood and broadly construed to mean in claim 7, "the end walls" as members flaps connected to the first and the second side walls (40c, 44c) as disclosed in the Applicant's Figure 1. Appropriate action is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,364 Page 4

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 7. Claims 1-3,6-10,14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chesson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,577,612)
- 8. Referring to claims 1, 2 and 6. Chesson discloses a dispenser carton (Figure 3 and 4) for containing a plurality, of articles comprising:

a plurality of walls including a bottom wall (518) spaced from a top wall (511), a pair of spaced side walls (514, 516) and a pair of spaced end walls (528, 536), the side (514, 516) and end walls (528, 536), extending between the top (511) and bottom walls (518);

a corner of the carton formed by one of the end walls (536) and one of the top (511) and bottom walls (518); and

a dispenser portion (534) for dispensing articles from the carton, the dispenser portion of the carton being formed at least in part from the corner (end wall and the top wall) to define a dispenser opening for exposing an article for removal from the carton (see Figure 6);

wherein the dispenser portion (534) does not include either of the side walls (514, 516).

- 9. Referring to claims 3 and 18. Chesson discloses a dispenser carton (Figure 3 and 4) wherein the dispenser portion (534) is hingedly (580) attached to the top wall (510).
- 10. Referring to claims 7 and 8. Chesson discloses a dispenser carton (Figure 3 and 4) wherein at least one retaining panel (531,513; Figure 3) in the end wall (516,614) adjacent the dispenser opening (334) to retain the remaining articles in the carton.
- 11. Referring to claim 9. Chesson discloses a dispenser carton (Figure 3 and 4) wherein a height of the at least one retaining panel (531,513; Figure 3) is over half of the height of the one end wall (528, 536).
- 12. Referring to claim 10. Chesson discloses a dispenser carton (Figure 3 and 4) wherein a width of the at least one retaining (531,513; Figure 3) panel is less than half of the width of the one end wall (528, 536).
- 13. Referring to claims 14 and 15. Chesson discloses a dispenser carton (Figure 3 and 4) wherein a pair of spaced tear lines (586, 588) each extending through the one end wall (536) and each tear line extends to the top wall (534).

Application/Control Number: 10/680,364 Page 6

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chesson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,577,612) in view of Montealegre (U.S. Patent Number 4,340,170).
- 16. Referring to claims 4 and 5. Chesson discloses all the claimed features in addition to a tab edge (547; Figure 3) disposed on the bottom corner of the container to provide for easy in lifting the dispenser portion (534), but does not teach of a finger hole located on the bottom corner.

However, Montealegre discloses a finger aperture (102; Figure 4) used to lift a embedded strap (92) formed on the cover.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teaching of Chesson and include a figure aperture as taught Montealegre and position the aperture at the leading edge of the dispenser portion near the bottom corner of the container to provide for easy lifting of the dispenser portion.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,364

17. Claims 11 and 19-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Chesson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,577,612) in view of Carroll

Page 7

(U.S. Patent Number 5,921,398)

Art Unit: 3654

18. Referring to claims 11 and 19-65. Chesson discloses all the claimed features, but does not teach the dispenser opening extending the entire height of the one end wall.

However, Carroll discloses a dispenser opening extending the entire height of the one end wall (see height of member 18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chesson and extend the dispenser opening to be the entire height of the end walls as taught by Carroll because a larger opening would provide a greater access to the articles within the container.

Regarding claims 19-21,25 see Office paragraph 8.

Regarding claims 22,36, see Office paragraph 9.

Regarding claims 23,24, see Office paragraph 16.

Regarding claims 26,27, see Office paragraph 10.

Regarding claims 28,38, see Office paragraph 11.

Regarding claims 29,39, see Office paragraph 12.

Regarding claims 30,40, see Office paragraph 20.

Regarding claims 31,41, see Office paragraph 22.

Regarding claims 32,33, see Office paragraph 13.

Regarding claims 34,35, see Office paragraph 23.

Regarding claims 37, see Office paragraphs 8-10,16 and 18.

Regarding claim 42, see Office paragraphs 13 and 23.

Regarding claim 43-64, 66-78, see Office Action above.

Regarding claim 65. Regarding method claim 65, although Chesson in view of Montealegue do not explicitly disclose a method of using their apparatus, the method steps recited in the claims would inherently be performed when using the apparatus of Chesson in view of Montealegue in its usual and expected fashion.

- 19. Claim 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chesson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,577,612) in view of Lavery (U.S. Patent Number 4,974,771)
- 20. Referring to claim 12. Chesson discloses all the claimed features, but does not teach a handle opening disposed in the top wall.

Lavery dispcloses a handle opening (see opening between perforation lines 66 and 72; Figure 1) in the top wall.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chesson and includes a handle as taught by Lavery because it would make carrying the container easier.

- 21. Claim 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chesson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,577,612) in view of Matsummura (U.S. Patent Number 5,690,213).
- 22. Referring to claim 13. Chesson discloses all the claimed features, but does not teach the dispenser portion being adapted to be releasably inserted into the handle opening.

Matsummura discloses a display carton (Figure 1) wherein a dispenser portion (13) is incorporated with a tab (29) to be releasably inserted into slot (30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chesson to have included the teaching of Matsummura and insert the dispenser portion of Chesson into a slot disposed on top of the container that can further be used as a handle because it would allow the dispenser portion tab to be secured.

23. Referring to claims 16 and 17. Chesson discloses all the claimed features, but does not teach of the tear lines extending along a juncture between the top and side wall.

Carrel discloses a dispensing carton wherein each tear line (58, 60) extends along a juncture between the top wall and one of the side walls(54, 56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chesson and align the tear lines

(582, 584) of Chesson such they extend along a juncture between the top and the side walls as taught by Carrell, because the dispenser opening would be larger and the articles would not catch the sides when being removed from the container.

Regarding claim 17 the fold line of Chesson extend through the top panel (511) and join the tear lines (584, 582; Figure 3).

Conclusion

- 24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rakesh Kumar whose telephone number is (517) 272-8314. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,364 Page 11

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RK May 12, 2006

GENE O. CRAWFORD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER